Barrera discloses a server-based search system that allows keyword searches of website content within selected categories.[1] Website content for the search engine is *automatically* gathered using a spider.[2] Specifically, the system of Barrera employs "a computer program that *automatically* seeks out information (i.e., content) distributed on various nodes of a network (e.g., at websites on the Internet, or on an intranet) and sends it back to a predetermined location (e.g., the spider's "home server") such as a search computer shown as 501 in FIG. 5."[3] Thus, it is clear that the system of Barrera does not involve pages displayed by a browser. In other words, the system of Barrera acquires web page data independent of a browser by using a spider that *automatically* obtains web page content.

The Office Action relies upon Cover for the disclosure of "one click of a single button displayed on a browser."[4] Cover discloses a technique for importing an image for editing directly from a web browser.[5] Referring now to Figure 6 of Cover (reproduced below), in order to open an image for editing the user either:

1. selects the image with a single-click and then presses the Open button 156; or
2. the user double-clicks the image.[6]

---

[1] Abstract.
[2] Column 4, lines 4-7.
[3] Column 4, lines 7-12. (Emphasis added).
[4] Final Office Action at page 3.
[5] Abstract.
[6] Column 6, lines 24-26 and 39-44.

FIG. 6

Thus, Cover discloses that opening an image for editing involves more than "one click of a single button displayed on the browser" as recited in claim 1.

Cover also discloses that in order to save the content back into the original web page stored on network 108 "the user may activate a pull down menu (not shown from the File command 174 and select 'Save.'"[7] Activating the pull down menu and selecting "Save" would involve more than "one click of a single button displayed on the browser" as recited in claim 1.

---

[7] Column 6, lines 49-52.

Moreover, one skilled in the art would not have been motivated to combine Barrera and Cover to arrive at the claimed invention. As discussed above, Barrera discloses using a spider to *automatically* gather website content for a search engine. If, as is being proposed by the Office Action, Barrera were modified such that it required a browser to initiate the saving of the content of an Internet page then it could take a very long time to collect content for the search engine because it would require a user to visit each individual website using a browser.

Clearly, the system of Barrera uses an automated technique to collect website content in order to quickly and efficiently obtain such content and build an optimized search engine that has cataloged a large number of web pages. Modifying Barrera to require a user to click a button on the browser to save the content would result in a less optimal search engine because of the time and effort required by users to collect the content. Thus, the modification of Barrera proposed in the rejection would result in an inferior search engine that returns inferior search results that only include websites actually displayed in a browser and for which a user clicks a button on the browser. One skilled in the art would not have been motivated to modify Barrera to create an inferior search engine.

Because the combination of Barrera and Cover does not disclose or suggest all of the elements of claim 1 and one skilled in the art would not have been motivated to modify Barrera to require clicking a button on a browser in order to

initiate saving of the content of an Internet page, the combination of Barrera and

Cover does not render claim 1 obvious.

Independent claim 24 recites similar element to those discussed above

with regard to claim 1, and is patentably distinguishable over the combination of

Barrera and Cover for similar reasons. Claims 3-23 and 25-46 are patentably

distinguishable at least by virtue of their dependency from claims 1 and 24.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1 and 3-46 for

obviousness be withdrawn.

If there are any questions regarding this response or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket # 103251.58981US).

Respectfully submitted,

November 7, 2008

Stephen W. Palan
Registration No. 43,420

CROWELL & MORING, LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
SWP:crr
10701525